UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,663	10/24/2003	Anjali Abhimanyu Patil	Rev 02-26	9947	
	7590 09/11/200 ner Products Corporation	EXAMINER			
Law Department 237 Park Avenue New York, NY 10017			MERCIER, MELISSA S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/692,663	PATIL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MELISSA S. MERCIER	1615		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,15-19 and 21-35 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-8 and 23-26 is/are visore visore allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,9-12,15-19,21,22 and 27-35 is/are visore viso	withdrawn from consideration. rejected. relection requirement. r. repted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animor. Note the attached emice	7.66.677.677.777.7.02.		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 27, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claim 16 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 1, from which claim 16 ultimately depends, has already limited the second film forming polymer to a silicone acrylate copolymer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 1-3, 9-12, 15-19, 21-22, 27-32, and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patil et al. (US Patent 6,342,209).

Patil discloses cosmetic compositions for application to skin, nails or hair, which contain one or more film forming polymers (column 1, lines 6-8). Suitable for use as the film forming polymer in the compositions are copolymers of silicone and various organic. ethylenically unsaturated monomers, for example silicone/acrylate copolymers (column 3, lines 27-37). Additionally, synthetic polymers with the same structure as claimed in the instant claims (column 3, line 64 through column 4, line 16). Example 6 discloses the use of trimethylsiloxysilicate (column 16). Dimethicone is disclosed as an antifoaming agent (column 6, lines 23-28). Cyclomethicone and isododecane are also disclosed as suitable oils (column 6, lines 61-68; column 7, lines 32-38). The cosmetic compositions of the invention may be in the form of pigments sticks such as lipstick, eye shadow sticks, foundation sticks, and the like. Preferably, these sticks are anhydrous (column 11, lines 38-45). Finally, Patel discloses the composition may incorporate one or more particulates, including, for example, bentonite, diatomaceous earth and montmorillonite, which are clays (column 8, lines 30-62). Example 10 discloses sun blocking creams comprising titanium dioxide (column 17), a well known sun screening agent.

Patil's teachings of the inclusion of a surfactant are limited to one specific formulation (foundation makeup) and not a requirement for the numerous other cosmetic formulations taught, such as nail enamels, pigmented cosmetic sticks, and mascaras.

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patil et al. (US Patent 6,342,209) in view of Scavone et al. (US Patent 6,197,286).

The teachings of Patil are discussed above and applied in the same manner.

Patil does not disclose the use of a gellant.

Scavone discloses cosmetic stick formulations comprising gellants (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated gellants into the formulation of Patil since Scavone discloses gellants are common in commercially available cosmetic sticks since they provide a solid matrix within which the cosmetic active and a liquid carrier can be contained with minimal or no liquid syneresis during storage (column 1, lines 29-35). Additionally, it is disclosed the gellants provide the product with sufficient hardness to form a solid stick formulation (column 1, lines 19-22). One of ordinary skill would have had a reasonable expectation of success since both references are drawn to the use of cosmetic stick formulations. The skilled artisan would be looking to optimize the storage and stability of the final product.

Art Unit: 1615

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 27, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the newly amended exclusion of a surfactant equals a teaching away from the Patel reference. The examiner disagrees. As discussed above, Patil's teachings regarding the inclusion of a surfactant are limited to the specific formulation of a foundation. Numerous other examples of formulation in which no surfactant is used or necessary are present.

Applicant's remarks regarding the Loginova reference have been fully considered and are persuasive; therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELISSA S. MERCIER whose telephone number is (571)272-9039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm Mon through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Melissa S Mercier/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615 /MP WOODWARD/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615